Draft Capital Programme 2011/2012

| Project Code Estimate | | | リー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・ | | | | | ATOMINE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O | Section Commission of Page 1889 and Commission of Commissi |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 2010/2011 Approved Changes | 2010/2011 Total Programme | Projected Expenditure 2010/2011 | 2011/2012 Total Programme | 2011/2012 WBC Funding | 2011/2012 External Funding | 2012/2013 Estimate | 2013/2014 Estimate |
| G | | | 3 | £ | 3 | £ | £ | £ | |
| | | Generalli | li Fund Summary | वर्ष्ट 👚 | | | | | |
| Provision for Urgent Schemes during Year | 160,000 | (25,000) | 135,000 | 135,000 | 185,000 | 185,000 | 0 | | |
| | 125,700 | 171,000 | 296,700 | 296,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Community Services 3,441,500 | | 1,297,600 | 4,739,100 | 3,374,100 | 4,660,670 | 4,325,370 | 335,300 | 2,264,500 | 347,600 |
| Sex | 000'66 | 12,000 | 111,000 | 111,000 | 72,500 | 68,500 | 4,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| | 410,000 | 550 | 410,550 | 410,550 | 650,000 | 398,000 | 252,000 | 560,000 | 460,000 |
| Quecial projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Customer, IT and Office Services | 405,000 | 156,000 | 561,000 | 530,600 | 204,100 | 204,100 | 0 | 39,000 | 35,000 |
| Community Partnership Fund | | 20,200 | 20,200 | 18,700 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 0000 | S-10,000 | 05 | OE) | 03 | 007,365,3 | 007/9673 | 0001/2015 | S125/700 | | म्हेस्व। मेहत्रातातावुः । । । । |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|---|
| | | | | | 171,000 | 171,000 | 171,000 | | K1261 | Flood Protection |
| | | | | - | 75,700 | 75,700 | | 75,700 | K1352 | Broadwater Lake Spillway |
| 10,000 | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 10,000 | K1270 | Building Control & Engineering Bus Shelter Replacement Programme |
| | | | • | | 40,000 | 40,000 | | 40,000 | K1515 | Development Control Planning Delivery Grant funded Expenditure |
| | | | | | - Ø | ningi Services | Plani | | | |
| | ч | Funding £ | Funding £ | Programme £ | 2010/2011 £ | Programme £ | Changes £ | ભ | | |
| 2013/2014 Estimate | 2012/2013 Estimate | 2011/2012 External | 2011/2012 WBC | 2011/2012 Total | Projected Expenditure | 2010/2011 Total | 2010/2011 Approved | 2010/2011 Estimate | Code | Project |
| | | | | | | eneral Fund | Ge | | | |
| | | | | | ne | (all Programme | . Capita | | | |

| 2013/2014 Estimate | | 30,000 | | | 19,000 | | , | 25,000 | 165,000 83,600 25,000 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------|--|
| 2012/2013 Estimate | £ | 30,000 | 1,850,000 | | 19,000 | 25,000 | | 25,000 | 165,000 93,200 25,000 |
| 2011/2012 External Funding | 3 | | | | | 60,000 40,000 50,000 | • | | 20,000 |
| 2011/2012. WBC Funding | 3 | 30,000 | 3,805,000 147,370 | | | 30,000 20,000 25,000 | | 45,000 | 145,000 53,000 } 25,000 |
| 2011/2012 Total Programme | £ | 30,000 | 3,805,000 147,370 | | | 90,000 60,000 75,000 | | 45,000 | 165,000 218,300 } 25,000 |
| Projected Expenditure 2010/2011 | 3 | 30,000 | 416,200 2,304,900 18,900 | 20,000 | 8,500 | 30,000 | 24,00 | 105,000 | 158,000 75,600 15,000 |
| 2010/2011 Total Programme | Sealways Vindiam | | 1,205,000 2,737,000 173,000 | 70,000 | 8,500 | 30,000 | 35,000 | 95,000 | 158,000 75,600 15,000 |
| 2010/2011 Approved Changes | 3 1 | | 1,215,000 | 70,000 | | ę | 32,000 | 25,000 | 30,600 |
| 2010/2011 Estimate | £ | 30,000 | 1,205,000 1,522,000 173,000 | | 8,500 | 30,000 | | 95,000 | 233,000 45,000 15,000 |
| Code | | K1110 | K1311 K1310 K1314 | K1450 | K1373 K1375 | K1390 orks K1391 | | K1343 | K1345 K1354 K1355 K1319 |
| | | Central Communications (Careline) | Leisure Strategy Godalming Leisure Centre - Biomass & PV's Farnham Leisure Centre Cranleigh Leisure Centre | Externally Funded Projects Famham Park SPA | ୟୁuntryside Stewardship Commitments & Habitat Management Sountryside Vehicles | nam Maltings ~ (previous grant paid £387k) ham Maltings - Hall for All ham Maltings - South Wing Roof ham Maltings - Restoration of Damaged Brickwor | Haslemere Hall Roof Works | | Recreational Facilities for Fouring Feoples Playground Replacement Philips Memorial Garden Improvement Programme Parks Infrastructure Works & DDA Improvements Parks Signage |
| Project | | Cent | Leisi Godt Farni Cran | Exte Fam | P2 # 93 | Arts Farni Farni Farni Muse | Has | Rec. Pavi | Play Park Park |

🌣 🖟 General Fund

Council on 17th December 2001, approved that Waverley commits in principle to match funding raised by the Maltings for the specific projects put forward (or such changes as agreed by Waverley) on the basis of one-third Waverley funding for two-thirds Maltings funding up to a maximum funding from Waverley of £750,000.

| 30,000 | 30,000 | | 23,500 | 23,500 | 30,000 | 30,000 | | 30,000 | K1241 | Car Fairs Parking Equipment Replacement |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | ŕ | 20,00 | 000 ^t 7 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 6,000 | 44,000 | K1233 | Opgrade Necycling Billig-Siles Food Waste Service |
| | | 7 000 | 000 00 | 000 70 | | | | | 74004 | Refuse Collection |
| | | | 25,000 | . 25,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 | 000'9 | 72,000 | K1205 | Tackling Fuel Poverty in Waverley |
| | | | | | | | | | | Environmental Health |
| | | | | | Ses T | nmental Services | Environ | | | |
| | મ | લા | ĊÌ | £ | ч | 3 | £ | 43 | | |
| • | | Funding | Funding | Programme | 2010/2011 | Programme | Changes | | - | |
| 2013/2014 Estimate | 2012/2013 Estimate | External | WBC | Total | Projected Expenditure | 2010/2011 Total | Approved | Estimate | Code | Project |
| | - | 0700,7700 | 2011/2012 | 2011/2012 | | 170000 | 440000 | 2010/2011 | | |

| 2010/2011 2010/201 Code Estimate Approver Changes £ £ £ £ Facilities Example Sign (5,000 (5,000 (5,000)) | | | | | |) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| 2010/2011 2010/2011 Projected 2011/2012 2011/2012 2011/2013 201 2011/2013 20 | | | | | | 22 | | | | | | | |
| Code Estimate Approved Total Expenditure Total WBC External Estimate Estimat | | | 2010/2011 | 2010/2011 | 2010/2011 | Projected | 2011/2012 | 2011/2012 | 2011/2012 | 2012/2013 | 2013/2014 | | |
| Changes Programme 2010/2011 Programme Funding Funding Facilities £ £ £ £ £ £ £ K1101 350,000 5,550 355,550 355,550 650,000 55,000 55,000 55,000 60,000 | | Code | Estimate | Approved | Total | Expenditure | Total | WBC | External | Estimate | Estimate | • | |
| K1101 £ <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>Changes</td> <td>Programme</td> <td>2010/2011</td> <td>Programme</td> <td>Funding</td> <td>Funding</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | • | | Changes | Programme | 2010/2011 | Programme | Funding | Funding | | | | |
| K1101 5,550 355,550 650,000 55,000 <th colspan<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Ç4</td><td>ር ቴ</td><td>Ç</td><td>લ</td><td>цì</td><td>4</td><td>ĊĮ</td><td>લા</td><td></td><td></td></th> | <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ç4</td> <td>ር ቴ</td> <td>Ç</td> <td>લ</td> <td>цì</td> <td>4</td> <td>ĊĮ</td> <td>લા</td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | Ç4 | ር ቴ | Ç | લ | цì | 4 | ĊĮ | લા | | |
| K1101 350,000 5,550 355,550 650,000 398,000 252,000 500,000 ector Renewals 60,000 (5,000) 55,000 55,000 60,000 60,000 | | | | Hous | sing Service | S | | | | | | | |
| newals 60,000 (5,000) 55,000 55,000 60,000 | House Renovation Grants | K1101 | 350 000 | | 355 550 | 355.550 | | 398,000 | 252,000 | 500,000 | 400,000 | | |
| | - Disabled Tabilities - Private Sector Renewals | | 000'09 | , | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | | 000'09 | 000'09 | | |

| | 2013/2014 Estimate | | | | 10,000 25,000 | | | | | 000/38 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | 2012/2013 2 Estimate | E | | | 10,000 | | y HRA) | | | 000 (3 %) |
| | 2011/2012 External Funding | £ | | | | | (to be funded by HRA) | | • | © |
| | 2011/2012 WBC Funding | 3 | ٠. | 10,000 | 10,000 29,000 | 5,000 | 20,000 30,000 28,100 | | 25,000 | 47,000 |
| | 2011/2012 Total Programme | 3 | | 10,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 20,000 30,000 28,100 | | 25,000 | 47,000 E204 100 |
| 0 | Projected Expenditure 2010/2011 | 3 3 3 | 23,000 1,600 80,000 | 35,000 | 10,000 79,000 100,000 40,000 | in 2010/2011. | 20,000 | 10,000 20,000 28,000 | 15,000 16,000 25,000 | E530 600 |
| li Programme terai Fund | 2010/2011 Total Programme | £ andiOffice S | 35,000 12,000 80,000 | 35,000 | 10,000 79,000 100,000 40,000 | Upgrade Project | 20,000 | 3,000 10,000 25,000 28,000 | 15,000 16,000 25,000 | #E56(1)000± |
| Gapital Gen | 2010/2011 Approved Changes | B | (28,000) | | 34,000 50,000 | Microsoft Office | 28,000 | 3,000 25,000 28,000 | 16,000 | E(56,000 |
| | 2010/2011 Estimate | නුමු : මැ ම | 35,000 40,000 80,000 | 35,000 | 10,000 45,000 50,000 | £50,000 on the | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 0000 3 |
| | Code | | K1017 K1016 K1014 | K1006 | K0001 K0003 K0233 | sal to include | K0260 | K0259 K0261 K0263 | K0231 K0239 K0262 | |
| | Project | | Central Offices Central Offices Lift - Control Panel Replacement Computer Room air-conditioning replacement Office Accommodation Review Works/Reception | Disability Discrimination Act Compliance DDA Compliance Works Provision | ICT Infrastructure Rolling Programme Forward Programme/Legislative Changes Desktop/Server Upgrades Microsoft Office Upgrade* | Noon Nembers IT The budget monitoring report includes a proposal to include £50,000 on the Microsoft Office Upgrade Project in 2010/2011 | System Migration/Upgrade Upgrade/Replace Systems - Lotus Upgrade/Replace Systems - Agresso Orchard Housing Management System Upgrade Finance System Review HR/Payroll System Replacement | Electronic Government for Customer Service Emergency Planning Software Website Upgrade Election Software Environmental Services Contact Manager | Information Management Network Upgrade & Flexible Working Government Secure Communications Northgate BS7666 Hub | E- Mair Archying and Management Scanning & Workflow -Environmental Health motal customerland/office/Services |

Capital Projects Justification Statements

Project: Careline equipment

Service: Careline

Officer Responsible for Project: Alayne Boyden

Identification of Need:

The Careline service is based on the provision of a piece of rented Community Alarm equipment for individuals in their own homes.

There has been a steady increase in the number of alarms installed each year in individual homes accounting for a net growth of 50 – 100 units in use. Investment is required each year to keep up with this increase in demand.

The service has been running for over 20 years. The equipment has a shelf life of 5 to 7 years and with new improved technology being introduced all the time the equipment needs to be replaced or upgraded to ensure the service remains reliable and up to date. The on going upgrade programme accounts for at least 100 – 200 units a year.

With the advancement of new technologies to compliment the basic Careline service there are more and more items of "Telecare" equipment available that enable people to remain safely at home and to give peace of mind to their family and carers. These items work alongside the Careline technology and are becoming part of the mainstream referrals that we are receiving from Health and Social Care colleagues and will only increase as the County develops a Telecare Strategy. In previous years we have secured some grant funding to purchase this type of equipment but as these items become mainstream and external funding reduces internal investment is needed for this in the future.

In addition there is an item of equipment needed in some cases, and especially as telecommunications networks evolve, to improve the compatibility of the unit with the clients telephone connections and makes the installation more reliable, especially for more vulnerable clients.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

By providing community alarm equipment and Telecare we are helping older and disabled people to remain independently and safely in their own homes, fulfilling the Council's priority of improving the quality of life for all, particularly the more vulnerable within our society.

Investment in up to date equipment enables us to attract more customers and earn more income and the service is better value for money as a result.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

The Service Plan objective:

To continue to evolve the Careline service focussing on delivering greater value for money and continuous improvement for the benefit of customers.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

This is an ongoing project.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

If no, how will the project be managed?

The project will be managed and monitored with existing systems and through the development of a bespoke Careline database (due early 2011).

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Replace all units over 7 years old by end of 2010-11.

Upgrade all units over 5 years old (where upgrade is possible) by end of 2010-11. Purchase new stock and Telecare equipment as required for clients.

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | · - | - | |
| Contract Costs | | | | |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 90000 |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | 1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | - | |
| | | | - | |
| Total Capital Cost | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 90000 |

How capital cost will be funded:

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| WBC Capital | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 90000 |
| S106 | | | | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | • |
| | | | | : |
| Total Funding | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 90000 |

Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or savings (Invest to Save):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total . £ |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Staffing | | | | ·- |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | |
| | | · | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u>Less</u> | | : | · | • |
| Revenue income | | • | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | | |
| Louinated annual revenue enect | | , | | · · |

Return on Capital and Payback (if appropriate):

| • | £ | | | |
|------------------|-------|-------------------|---|-------|
| Forecast Returns | - | Return on Capital | | % |
| Capital Cost | 30000 | | | |
| Forecast Savings | - | Payback | - | Years |

Identify any efficiency gains resulting from the project:

By maintaining an up to date stock of equipment the need for un-planned response to faults is reduced.

Identify any risks which may effect the project:

Further unexpected advances in technology may mean the existing equipment in use needs to be upgraded sooner.

Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implications:

N/a

Equality impact assessment carried out? N/A

Part of main service EqIA

How will the project be procured?

Equipment is purchased through a nationwide procurement agreement with the Northern Housing Association attracting lower prices for bulk purchase.

Is there scope for sharing/joint work? Yes

We work closely with Guildford BC

There is scope for more joint working with them and other neighbouring Boroughs. Surrey County is developing a Telecare Strategy – we have yet to understand the implications of this.

Completed by: Alayne Boyden Date: 18.10.10

Project: Farnham Maltings: 'Hall for All'

Service: Communities

Officer Responsible for Project: Kelvin Mills

Identification of Need:

Improving the Great Hall was the subject of a report commissioned by WBC, which looked at alternative spaces for theatre following the closure of The Redgrave. The proposed 'Hall for All' programme picks up on the recommendations of the report, which includes improving facilities, safety and access to the hall.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme contributes to the delivery of 2 corporate priorities:

Leisure

Making the grand hall a fully accessible cultural and entertainment venue for Waverley residents

Improving Lives

Providing disabled toilets and changing facilities in the East Wing and Grand Hall, providing a lift and installing a hearing loop.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission): Planning permission is not required. There is a specification for the works and building is due to commence in January 2011.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes / No If no, how will the project be managed?

The project will be managed by the Farnham Maltings Association

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Start January 2011 Complete March 2011

| : | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| WBC Capital | 30,000 | | | |
| S106 | | • | · | |
| External Funding (specify) - | 50,000 | | | |
| Farnham Maltings | 10,000 | | | <u>.</u> |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| Total Funding | 90,000 | | | |

| | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| 01-45 | | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing (annuity) | _ | | · - | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | · | | · · · · · | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | | · · · |
| Total (Cyclide Costs | | · · | · | | |
| Less | | | · _ | | <u> </u> |
| Revenue income | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Estimated annual revenue effe | ect | | | _ | |
| Poturn on Conital and Davi | haals (if anns | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Payl | | ropriate): ⁻¬ | | ** | |
| Esserie B. (| £ | _ _ | | | |
| Forecast Returns | 20,000 | _ Return ⋅ | on Capital | 22 % | |
| Capital Cost | 90,000 | | r_ | | , |
| Forecast Savings | | _ Paybac | K | - Year | S |
| | | | | | |
| Not achieving the full amoun | | | ill mean tha | at the scale | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced | t of external | funding w | | at the scale | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced | t of external | funding w | | at the scale | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced | t of external | funding w | | at the scale | of the |
| dentify any risks which ma Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl | t of external | funding w | | at the scale | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl | t of external | funding w | | at the scale | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessmen | t of external uding Carbo | funding work in Implicate N/A | ations: | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl | t of external uding Carbo | funding work in Implicate N/A | ations: | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessmen | t of external uding Carbo | funding work in Implicate N/A | ations: | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment inclerations in the project will greatly enharms. | t of external uding Carbo | funding work in Implicate N/A | ations: | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment for project will greatly enhared. How will the project be pro | t of external luding Carbont carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessmen | t of external luding Carbont carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment for project will greatly enhared. How will the project be pro | t of external luding Carbont carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment for project will greatly enhared the project be profiled to the profiled to | nt carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment for project will greatly enhared. How will the project be pro | nt carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |
| Not achieving the full amoun project is reduced Environmental Impact, incl Equality impact assessment for the project will greatly enhare the project be profibere will be a tendering profibere will be a tendering profibere. | nt carried ounce disabled | on Implicate N/A facilities a | ations: and access | · . | of the |

Project Justification Form Project: South Wing Roof Service: Communities Officer Responsible for Project: Kelvin Mills Identification of Need: See attached architects report for the South Wing Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives: Providing affordable leisure and cultural facilities for all Cross Reference to Service Plan: Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission): Building work is due to commence in June 2011 Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No If no, how will the project be managed? The project will be managed by Farnham Maltings Association Key Project target dates and milestones: Works start June 2011 Works completed Sept 2011

Capital cost (across years):

| , | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| • | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | . £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Land | | • | | |
| Contract Costs | | | | |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | _ | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - roof works | 60,000 | | | 60,000 |
| • | | · | | |
| : | | | , . | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 60,000 | | | 60,000 |

| · | | · | | <u> </u> |
|---|--|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| low capital cost will be funded: | | | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 20,000 | | | 20,000 |
| S106 | | | | |
| External Funding (specify) – SITA | 30,000 | | | 30,000 |
| Others | 10,000 | · | | 10,000 |
| | | | | • |
| Total Funding | 60,000 | | | 60,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or say | vings (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total £ |
| Staffing | | | £ | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Other costs (specify) - | <u>.</u> | | - | |
| | | | | |
| T-t-I Davison O. I | | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | - |
| Less | - | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | + |
| Saving | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 3,000 |
| Cotimated annual sevenies officet | (4.000) | (4.000) | (4.000) | (0.000) |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | (1,000) | (1,000) | (1,000) | (3,000) |
| leturn on Capital and Payback (if | appropriate |)): | • | |
| £ | | | • . | ! |
| Forecast Returns - | | n on Capital | - % | • |
| Capital Cost 60,0 Forecast Savings 1,000 | | nok | Va | `` |
| | | | - Yea | র। ১ |
| dentify any efficiency gains resul | ung from th | e project: | • | |
| leating, water proofing, sound proo | fing and sola | r energy | | |
| g, prooming, ooding proo | g and dold | . Onorgy | | |
| dentify any risks which may effec | t the projec | t: | | |
| | | | | |
| lot achieving the amount of externa | ıl funding req | uired | | |
| invironmental Impact, including (| arhon Impl | icationas | · | |
| vomientai mipact, niciuunig t | σαι μυτι ιπιρι | เซลนบทร: | | |
| Reduction in heat loss | | * | | |
| | | | | |
| quality impact assessment carri | ed out? N/A | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| low will the project be procured? | ` | · · · · | | |
| iow will the project be procured? | | | | |
| By local competitive tender | | | | • |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | | |
| s there scope for sharing/joint wo | ork? No | | | |
| | | | | |
| Samuelated by Charlet H. H. P. | <u> </u> | | | /40/40 |
| Completed by: Charlotte Hall | P232 | ם ן | ate: 11 | /10/10 |

Project: Restoration of damaged brickworks

Service: Communities

Officer Responsible for Project: Kelvin Mills

Identification of Need:

Russell Morris has advised Farnham Maltings that the brickwork on the south flank wall fronting Red Lion Lane is showing signs of deep erosion in some of the bricks. On Russell's recommendation the Maltings have instructed a specialist report which is attached.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Providing affordable and accessible leisure and cultural opportunities for all

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Due to start April 2012

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No If no, how will the project be managed?

Farnham Maltings Association will manage the project

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Start April 2012 Complete September 2012

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | | | | |
| Fees | | , | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | · · |
| Other (specify) – building works | 75,000 | | | 75,000 |
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | · | |
| Total Capital Cost | 75,000 | | | 75,000 |

| How capital cost will be funded: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 25,000 | <u>-</u> | | 25,000 |
| S106 | 50,000 | | | 50.000 |
| External Funding (specify) – Biffa | 50,000 | | | 50,000 |
| | | | | |
| Total Funding | 75.000 | | | 75.000 |
| Total Funding | 75,000 | | | 75,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or sa | vings (inves | t to Save): | · | |
| • | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| Staffing | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Less | | | | - |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Payback (if | appropriate | 2)- | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| £ | | 7. | | |
| Forecast Returns N/A | · | n on Capital | - % | |
| Capital Cost 75,000 | | | ,- | |
| Forecast Savings N/A | Payb | | - Year | S |
| ldentify any efficiency gains resul | ting from th | e project: | | <u> </u> |
| Building integrity is in doubt unless e | Secontial war | ka ara aarri | ad aut | • |
| building integrity is in doubt diffess to | | ks are carri | eu oui | |
| Identify any risks which may effect | t the projec | t. | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |
| Failure to secure Biffa funding | | | • | • |
| Environmental Impact, including (| Carbon Impl | ications: | . ' | |
| Livinoimiental impaot, including | | ications. | | |
| Restoration of crumbling / damaged | brickwork, w | indows and | doors on Re | d Lion Lane |
| | | | · · · | |
| Equality impact assessment carri | ed out? N/A | | | |
| | V | • | | |
| · | | | | • |
| How will the project be procured? | | | | |
| Competitive lead tender | | | | ·- · |
| Competitive local tender | | | • | - |
| Is there scope for sharing/joint wo | ork? No | | | |
| | | • | | |
| 0 | | <u> </u> | | 10115 |
| Completed by: Charlotte Hall | | . [| Date: 11/ | 10/10 |

Project: Pavilions Capital Works 2011-2012

Service: Parks

Officer Responsible for Project: Matthew Lank

Identification of Need:

The council currently has responsibility for major works across the majority of its pavilions (even where a Recreation ground Committee is responsible for day-to-day management and maintenance), and many of these are now in need of major works over the coming years to ensure that they remain compliant with current legislation and safe to use. This budget is extremely valuable in supporting the various community clubs using our facilities- and has in the past been used to provide partnership funding for works, meaning the Council's investment is often enhanced considerably.

A project is currently underway "The review of arrangements for the use of outdoor sports facilities" which is looking at devolving more responsibility to users of the pavilions going forward, this is due to go before the next executive committee for approval. In the meantime however, it is proposed that this programme continues as a rolling programme each year, this will enable our pavilions to brought up to a decent standard to enable a transfer to the various clubs and make negotiations easier.

This project is further supported by the asset management plan (AMP) work being undertaken by the Councils property section. This is identifying priority works required to pavilions internal and external and is organising the works in terms of priority of a course of several years.

Wrecclesham Tennis Club has identified a need of assistance from Waverley in trying to replace their clubhouse. Club have set aside £30,000 of club funds already for the project, however they have identified that a further £7,000 is for the removal of asbestos from the old clubhouse and a further £3,000 to demolish the old building. The total project cost is £40,000 The club are looking to the Council to fund the asbestos removal.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme has the potential to contribute towards the following corporate objectives:

- 1. <u>Improving Lives</u>: The provision of good quality sports pavilions in support of our outdoor facilities contributes to the quality of life of many people throughout the borough.
- 2. <u>Leisure</u>: Quality pavilions and changing rooms support opportunities for all to take part in sport and recreation.
- Value for Money: This budget will provide the opportunity for the council to maximise its investment by bringing in external funding through the community organisations it assists.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Assists in progressing the approved recommendations of the outdoor sports leases project "The review of arrangements for the use of outdoor sports facilities".

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Asset Management Plan (AMP) already drawn up allocating work priorities over the next few years. If planning permission is required for works this will be obtained as and when required.

In addition to the asset management plan Wrecclesham Tennis Club have identified a financial need for the Councils assistance in the removal of asbestos.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

Value of individual projects will not require the use of the Corporate Project Management Toolkit.

The Parks department and the Property department will manage individual projects by referring to priority list in the AMP and obtaining competitive quotes for the work required.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Complete works identified in year 2 of the AMP by March 2012. New list of works will be drawn up for subsequent years from the AMP.

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 45,000 | 25,000 | | |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| ` ` ` | | | | 1 |
| . : | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 45,000 | 25,000 | - | |

| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| WBC Capital | 45,000 | 25,000 | | : |
| S106 | | | 7 . | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| Total Funding | 45,000 | 25,000 | <u> </u> | |

| • | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| 0.00 | | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing (anality) | | _ | | <u> </u> | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | | |
| Less | | | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | | |
| | | • | • | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effe | ect | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Pay | hack (if a | nronriato | \• | | |
| teturii on Capital and Lay | <u> </u> | | ,. | • | |
| Dataina | £ | Determ | | | |
| Forecast Returns | 45.000 | | on Capital | - % | |
| Capital Cost Forecast Savings | 45,000 | / Payba | ck | - Ye | are |
| dentify any efficiency gair | <u>!</u> | | | | |
| f works not undertaken, con ports clubs. Environmental Impact, inc | | | | suitable for l | hand over t |
| | | | | | |
| Potential to reduce carbon fo | ootprint of | pavilions, i | ncreased u | isage of gre | ener energ |
| Potential to reduce carbon for supplies. Equality impact assessme | | | ncreased u | sage of gre | ener energ |
| Potential to reduce carbon fo supplies | nt carried | out? No | | <u> </u> | |
| Potential to reduce carbon for supplies. Equality impact assessme mprovement in pavilions will be incorporated in each proj | nt carried I improve ect. | out? No | | <u> </u> | |
| Potential to reduce carbon for supplies. Equality impact assessme mprovement in pavilions will the project be pro- | nt carried I improve ect. | out? No equality for | all and cor | nsideration | |
| Potential to reduce carbon for supplies. Equality impact assessme improvement in pavilions will the project be proposed in competitive quotes for the project of the proje | nt carried Il improve ect. cured? or each wo | out? No equality for ork requiren | all and cor | nsideration | |
| Potential to reduce carbon for supplies. Equality impact assessme mprovement in pavilions will | nt carried if improve ect. ccured? or each work | out? No equality for ork requiren | all and cor | nsideration t | to DDA will |

Project: Playground Replacement Programme 2011/2012

Service: Parks

Officer Responsible for Project: Matthew Lank

Identification of Need:

The continuation of the ongoing rolling programme of playground refurbishments started 2009/10.

A report was taken to the Executive in July 2009 setting out the proposed means of assessing all of the Council's play areas taking into account Risk Assessment, Play Value, DDA-compliance, etc, and presenting a priority list for works for financial years 2009/10 and 2010/11. This was based upon the sums already allocated in the forward capital programme, but also took into account other funding streams such as S106 funds, Infrastructure Tariff money and external 'Playbuilder' funding.

This process highlighted that, despite an extensive refurbishment programme which took place between 2006 and 2008, there is still work required to bring many of the remaining sites up to an acceptable standard and to ensure that play provision throughout the borough is safe, of high quality and as inclusive as possible.

Furthermore, pending a future report on proposed spend from 2011 onwards, a further sum of £165k is included in both 2011/12 and 2012/13.

Identified sites for 2011/2012 are:

- Cranleigh Basket ball hoop, Cranleigh leisure centre, Cranleigh
- · Canon Bowerings, Farncombe, Godalming
- Hollowayhill Recreation Ground, Godalming
- Oast House Crescent, Hale, Farnham
- Runfold Recreation Ground, Runfold, Farnham

We would be looking to allocate £165,000 of funding towards these projects of which £20,000 would come from the Planning Infrastructure Charge.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme has the potential to contribute towards the following corporate objectives:

- 1. <u>Improving Lives</u>: The provision of good quality and safe play areas throughout the borough contributes to the quality of life of many Waverley residents, and the upgrading of play areas to take into account the requirements of the DDA will drastically improve opportunities for our less able young residents to engage in free, outdoor play.
- 2. <u>Leisure</u>: Quality play areas provide free opportunities for all to take part in recreation.
- 3. <u>Value for Money</u>: This budget provides the opportunity for the council to maximise its investment by bringing in external funding through working with local interest groups and community organisations. Spend is also largely directed through local consultation, thus ensuring that the end result reflects local need.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

1. Introduction/Overview

Improve and support opportunities for all to take part in sport, recreation and culture. Increase and improve recreational and play facilities

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

A report was taken to the Executive in July 2009 setting out the proposed means of assessing all of the Council's play areas taking into account Risk Assessment, Play Value, DDA-compliance, etc, and presenting a priority list for works for financial years 2009/10 and 2010/11. Consultation on individual projects will take place early in the new financial year 2012. Planning consent will not be needed for these projects.

Progress made so far:

2009/10

- Beaconhill Recreation Ground, Ball Hoop replacement Complete.
- Cranleigh Skatepark Complete.
- Romans Way Playground Complete.
- Mardens Playground Complete.

2010/11

- Boundstone Rec Playground Ongoing.
- Peakfield Playground Ongoing.
- Tilford Road Playground Ongoing.
- Farnham Park (St James) Playground Ongoing.
- Herons Skatepark Ongoing.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

Projects will be managed by existing parks staff; organising consultations, inviting play manufactuers to tender designs and monitoring construction.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Identified sites to be completed by end of March 2012, although there will be a phased approach through the year. The same condition will apply in the next year.

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 495,000 |
| Fees | | | | <u> </u> |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | • |
| | | | • | |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 495,000 |

| • | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 145,000 | 165000 | 165000 | 475,000 |
| S106 External Funding PIC monies | 20,000 | Unknown | Unknown | 20,000 |
| Potential funding (High Sheriff) | Unknown | yet | yet i | |
| | | | | |
| Total Funding | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 495,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or sa | ivings (inve | st to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| Staffing | | | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | · | - |
| | | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | ļ |
| Less | | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | 1 | - |
| Forecast Returns | £ Retu | ırn on Capital | - % | |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost 165 Forecast Savings | £ Retu - Retu - Payl | urn on Capital back | - % - Yea | ars |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings dentify any efficiency gains resured the sequirements. Subsequently reduction to population. | £ Retuing from the congruence of the congruence | urn on Capital back he project: ble life will re ity of free pla | - Yea | intenance |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost 165 Forecast Savings dentify any efficiency gains resured the efficiency gains the efficiency gains the efficiency gains resured to the efficiency gains result of the efficienc | Retuing from the condition of its usable cet the project the project the condition of the condition of the Council leave a carbon impagement. | urn on Capital back he project: ble life will re ity of free pla ct: ppropriate tir th &safety is cil. Addition gap in play blications: | - Yea esult less ma ay to the bor meframe, bre sues and po ally broken o provision. | aintenance ough's child eakages tentially |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings dentify any efficiency gains resured and the equirements. Subsequently reduct to any risks which may effect of playground equipment is not replayed a could occur which could potentially cause accidents resulting in claims would need to be removed which we environmental Impact, including | Retuing from the country ould leave a carbon Implication out? | back he project: ble life will relity of free pla ct: ppropriate tir th &safety is cil. Addition gap in play blications: als in constru | - Year esult less ma ay to the bor meframe, bre sues and po ally broken of provision. | aintenance ough's child eakages tentially equipment |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings dentify any efficiency gains resured and sequently reduction and sequently reduction. dentify any risks which may effect fould occur which could potentially eause accidents resulting in claims would need to be removed which we environmental Impact, including otential to source and use sustain and the design of each playground automatical sequences. | Retuing from the string availabile to the Councile to the Coun | back he project: ble life will relify of free place tt: ppropriate tire th &safety iscil. Addition gap in play blications: als in constructory ves consider | esult less many to the borders and portally broken of provision. | aintenance ough's child eakages tentially equipment |

Project: Phillips Memorial Gardens Improvement Project

Service: Parks

Officer Responsible for Project: Matthew Lank

Identification of Need:

The project justification is the continuation of work started in 2009/10 to improve the Philips Memorial Park. Waverley was successful in the stage 1 application to the Heritage Lottery Fund (£26,500 grant was secured for 2010/2011). As a result of this the Council have been able to appoint a temporary Parks Project Officer to assist in the preparation of Waverley's stage 2 application for further grant funding. The Council is currently in a strong position to secure this funding from the Heritage Lottery Fund. If successful it will enable a substantial project to take place, and for the site to be in excellent condition in time for the centenary of the sinking of the Titanic (15 April 2012).

The Phillips Memorial Park in Godalming has been the focus of a considerable amount of interest in recent times, with a number of organisations and individuals expressing an active interest and a desire to see the Council take action to conserve and improve it the site.

Whilst at present being maintained to a good standard, the site is certainly in need of an overhaul in places- in particular around the cloister area. A 'Friends' group/steering group has been formed to assist the Council with the HLF application and improvement plan. It is expected that the centenary of RMS Titanic sinking will generate considerable interest in the Philips Memorial Park.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme has the potential to contribute towards a number of the Corporate objectives as follows:

- 1. <u>Environment</u>: The Phillips Memorial Gardens are an extremely important environmental asset for Godalming, and this project is intended to protect and enhance this.
- 2. <u>Improving Lives</u>: Upgrading the main town park in Godalming will, it is hoped, generate increased interest in the site, and make this already popular facility even more widely known and used. Access to good quality open spaces is universally recognised as making a considerable contribution to quality of life.
- 3. <u>Leisure</u>: This project would improve opportunities for local people to take part in recreation and culture by improving the quality, and raising the profile, of a key open space in the borough.
- 4. <u>Value for Money</u>: It is hoped and anticipated that this project will attract a good level of external funding, thus maximising the council's investment.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

- 1. Introduction/Overview Improve and support opportunities for all to take part in sport, recreation and culture. Improve the environment of the Councils public open space.
- 2. The continuation of the project will enable a fully constituted 'Friends Of' group to be formed for the Philips Memorial Park, continuation of users surveys, development of management plans and the continued development of the site.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

First round pass of £314,000, including development grant funding of £25,600, awarded 05 July 2010 by the Heritage Lottery Fund and Big Lottery Fund through the joint Parks for People programme.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

The project was started prior to the Corporate Toolkit being available. It is currently managed by the Parks Service and through the officer group that has been formed. Also using Heritage Lottery Fund application and grant aid claim procedures.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Stage 2 application to be submitted by 28 February 2011.

Stage 2 result expected start of July 2011.

Anticipated start of project: August/September 2011,

Restoration of Cloister and associated Jekyll planting to be completed by 14 April 2012 (100th Anniversary of sinking of RMS Titanic takes place on 15 April 2012).

Length of project: 5 years (project end August 2016)

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Years 3-5 £ | Total £ |
|---|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Land | | - | | |
| Contract Costs | 202,400 | 87,300 | 65,900 | 355,600 |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | 10,000 | | | 10,000 |
| Other (specify) – (volunteer – cash equivalent) | 5,900 | 5,900 | 17,700 | 29,500 |
| , | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 218,300 | 93,200 | 83,600 | 395,100 |

| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Years 3-5 £ | Total £ |
|--|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| WBC Capital | 53,000 | 19,300 | 4,900 | 77,200 |
| S106 | | | , | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| HLF | 159,400 | 68,000 | 61,000 | 288,400 |
| Volunteers (HLF cash equivalent value) | 5,900 | 5,900 | 17,700 | 29,500 |
| | | <u> </u> | - | |
| Total Funding | 218,300 | 93,200 | 83,600 | 395,100 |

| | | | Y | ear 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 | 3 | Total £ |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Staffing | | | | | | | | _ |
| | s (specify) - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | 1 | | | | | |
| Total Reve | nue Costs | | ļ | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | |
| <u>Less</u> | | • | ┼ | | | - | | |
| Revenue | e income | | - | | | • | | : |
| Estimated : | annual revenue effe | ect | | | | <u> </u> | + | |
| Return on | Capital and Payl | oack∖(if a | ppro | priate) | | | | |
| | | £ | | | earing | 1:4 | | |
| · | ecast Returns | <u> </u> | | | earing on Capital | | % | |
| <u> </u> | pital Cost | 218,30 | n l | i ve iui i i | on Capital | | 70 | |
| | ecast Savings | 210,30 | | Paybac | nk | _ \ | Years | |
| | y efficiency gain | <u> </u> | | | | - | | |
| | • | | , | · | l building br | | | |
| Stage 2 graf f unsucces Cost of restekyll plant Environme Low - Grad efurbishme cement). T | ny risks which may ant is discretionary seful, restoration of Cloiste ting at £20,000). ental Impact, include 2 listing of build ent, such as lime files and timber was and manufacture of the series and manufacture of the series and timber was and timber was a series and timber was a | y – HLF ir of Cloister r will still l luding Ca ling requir mortar (w ill be re-u | the padical will have arborres arhich sed | project: Ite an expression to be not | xpected suc funding from net in total (cations: ate materials se environm | ccess raternates (£145,000) I to be usental important | te of 5 ative s 00 plus sed for pact th | in 6. ources. cost of |
| Stage 2 graf unsucces Cost of res Jekyll plant Environme Low - Grad refurbishme cement). To transport a Equality in | ant is discretionary stration of Cloiste ting at £20,000). ental Impact, include 2 listing of build ent, such as lime | y – HLF ir of Cloister r will still I luding Ca ling requir mortar (w ill be re-u f new ma nt carried equireme | the products arborders arb | project: Ite an extending less less whenever less less less less less less less le | xpected suc funding from net in total (cations: ate materials se environmorer possible | ccess rate and alternate (£145,000) I to be usental important imp | te of 5 ative s 00 plus sed for pact th | in 6. ources. cost of r nat educed |
| Stage 2 graf unsucces Cost of res Jekyll plant Environme Low - Grad refurbishme cement). The ransport a Equality in requirement | ant is discretionary strain, restoration of Cloiste ting at £20,000). ental Impact, include 2 listing of build ent, such as lime Files and timber wind manufacture of the properties of the properties and manufacture of the properties of the properties and timber wind manufacture of the properties and timber wind timb | y – HLF ir of Cloister r will still I uding Ca ing requir mortar (w ill be re-u f new ma rt carried equireme d intellect | the products will have res a which sed teria direction time tual a | project: Ite an extraction in Implication Implication in Implication Implic | xpected suc funding from net in total (cations: ate material se environm rer possible nted. Howe for all. | ccess rate naternate (£145,000) I to be usental important importan | te of 5 ative s 00 plus sed for pact th ng in re | in 6. ources. cost of r nat educed |
| Stage 2 gra If unsucces Cost of res Jekyll plant Environme Low - Grad refurbishm cement). The transport a Equality in Project initined in the Tension of th | ant is discretionary structured in the structure of Cloiste ting at £20,000). ental Impact, include 2 listing of build ent, such as lime files and timber wand manufacture of the structure of t | y – HLF ir of Cloister r will still I luding Ca ling requir mortar (w ill be re-u f new ma nt carried equireme d intellect cured? stenders | the product will have res a which sed teria trual a for set to the form of the | project: Ite an expression in Implies In Implies Ite an expression in Ite an ex | expected suctions funding from the in total (cations: ate materials environmer possible areas of wood or this projections) | ccess rate and alternate (£145,000) I to be usental important imp | te of 5 ative s 30 plus sed for pact that in reference required | in 6. ources. cost of |

Project: Parks Infrastructure Improvement and DDA works

Service: Parks

Officer Responsible for Project: Matthew Lank

Identification of Need:

The continuation of a rolling programme of improvement works as defined in the previous years project justification 2010/11 implemented by Rob Anderton.

The infrastructure in the council's parks, gardens, open spaces and housing amenity areas is, in places now showing its age and evidence of historic under-investment. In some areas, tarmac paths are crumbling and potholes are appearing, and walls, fences and gates are in need of replacement or refurbishment (often on health and safety grounds). It is considered that, in the long run, it would be preferable (and financially more prudent) to undertake wholesale replacement of some of these assets rather than a rolling programme of patching and making good. In light of this it is felt that there is a need to develop a programme of proactive asset replacement/ refurbishment to address this issue.

Furthermore, with the advent of the Disability Discrimination Act, it is apparent that many sites do not afford an acceptable level of access to those with mobility problems or other disabilities- and reasonable and proportionate adjustments should be made to rectify this situation. It is felt that a programme of works needs to be put in place to gradually rectify this situation through the construction of new footpaths, widening of existing paths, and installation of handrails for example.

This would be a rolling programme for at least the next 2 years.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme has the potential to contribute towards a number of the Corporate objectives as follows:

- 1. <u>Environment</u>: Taking a proactive approach to asset management will go a long way towards addressing the 'run down' appearance of some of our key open spaces.
- Improving Lives: Upgrading and/or facilitating access to parks and gardens for those with mobility problems will contribute towards improving their quality of life.
- 3. <u>Leisure</u>: This proposed investment would improve opportunities for local people to take part in recreation and culture.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

No specifics to cross reference however, it fits in with the Introduction/Overview in service plan to; Improve the environment of the Council's public open space and ensure fair and equal access to all services wherever possible.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

A priority list of sites is currently being developed for the next few years, consisting of a combination of DDA works and other general upgrades/ improvements.

So far sites that have been identified for improvement are:

Re-surfacing of Badshot Lea recreation Ground Carpark, Farnham Re-surfacing of central footpath Lower Hanger, Woolmerhill Estate, Haslemere Improvement of access arrangements to Mardens Recreation Ground from Arthur Road, Farnham.

Re-surfacing of Holloway Hill Recreation Ground Carpark, Godalming.

No planning permission is likely to be required for this work.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

Managed by Parks officers in conjunction with Councils Engineer, through obtaining competitive quotes for the work required from our local contractors.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

To be completed by end of March 2012, however work will continue to further improvement as many sites as possible over a period of years.

Capital cost (across years):

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | · - · |
| Contract Costs | 12,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 62,500 |
| Fees | | | | · |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |
| · | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 12,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 62,500 |

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| WBC Capital | 12,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 62,500 |
| S106 | | | | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Funding | 12,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 62,500 |

| | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| <u> </u> | | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing | | | | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | • . | | • | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Less | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Revenue income | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Estimated annual revenue effe | ect | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Payl | back (if ap | propriate): | | | |
| | £ | | | | |
| Forecast Returns | _ | Return | on Capital | - % | |
| Capital Cost | 12,500 | | | | |
| Forecast Savings | | Paybac | k | - Yea | rs |
| dentify any efficiency gain | s resulting | from the | project: | | - |
| , , | • | • | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | ٠, | | | • | · |
| | | | | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| f access improvements are | not improve | ed, Council | | riminate aga | ainst less |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and cou | not improve uld fall foul | ed, Council of DDA. | could disc | | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and cou Claims could be levied again | not improve uld fall foul ast councils | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar | could disc | | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and cou Claims could be levied again Environmental Impact, incl | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic | could disc d trips fron ations: | | |
| f access improvements are nable bodied persons and cou Claims could be levied again Environmental Impact, incl | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic | could disc d trips fron ations: | | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and cou Claims could be levied again Environmental Impact, incl Potential to use recycled ma | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction | could disc d trips fron ations: | | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again Environmental Impact, includential to use recycled materials. | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co nt carried | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again Environmental Impact, includential to use recycled materials. | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co nt carried | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again Environmental Impact, includential to use recycled material impact assessments of the provide the provide second in the provi | not improve uld fall foul est councils luding Car terials in co nt carried free acces | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again Environmental Impact, includential to use recycled material to use recycled material to project will provide the will the project be professional and the project be project be project by the project be project by the project be project by the | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co nt carried free acces | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |
| f access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again Environmental Impact, includential to use recycled material impact assessments as a project will provide the project be produced to the project be produced to the project of | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co nt carried free acces ocured? | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. out? No s for all en | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |
| dentify any risks which may access improvements are lable bodied persons and could be levied again and could be levied again and could be levied again and acceptance of the project of the project will provide the project be proposed and acceptance of the project be proposed and acceptance of the project be proposed and acceptance of the project of t | not improve uld fall foul ast councils luding Car terials in co nt carried free acces ocured? | ed, Council of DDA. for falls ar bon Implic onstruction. out? No s for all en | could disc d trips fron | n uneven su | |

Project: Parks and Recreation Ground Signage

Service: Parks

Officer Responsible for Project: Matthew Lank

Identification of Need:

Year two of the two-year capital investment in improving corporate signage on parks sites across the borough to raise the Councils profile.

This Council owns and maintains almost 60 parks, gardens, open spaces and recreation grounds across the borough, many of which are highly valued by the local population and offer a good range of high quality and free recreational facilities. However, at present, only a handful of these sites have any signage or branding identifying them as Waverley Borough Council sites (and these are of course no longer in line with current corporate branding guidelines).

This proposal is for a two-year capital investment in corporate signage for all our parks, recreation grounds and open spaces. It is felt that this investment is extremely important if the profile of this valuable and much appreciated service (and therefore the council as a whole) is to be raised.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Whilst this scheme does not contribute directly to any of the Corporate objectives, it will assist greatly in raising the profile of the Parks Service, which in turn makes a significant contribution to the <u>environment</u>, <u>improving lives</u>, and <u>leisure</u> objectives.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Not specifically mentioned however as described above it will greatly raise the profile of the Parks Service and the Council.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

An initial piece of has been started which has assessed the current condition of playground and recreation ground signage, and identified what will be required with new signage, quantities, style and content. Initial designs have also been drawn up and will require approval.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

The project is of a small enough size to not warrant using the toolkit.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

To rebrand corporate image of all playgrounds, skate parks, MUGA's and recreation grounds & parks by end of March 2012.

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Land | 10.55 | | | |
| Contract Costs | 12,500 | | | 12,500 |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | <u> </u> |
| Circi (opecity) | | | , . | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 12,500 | | | 12,500 |
| | | | | |
| ow capital cost will be funded | | <u> </u> | | |
| ow capital cost will be fullued | l . | | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| <u> </u> | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| WBC Capital | 12,500 | <u> </u> | | 12,500 |
| S106 | | | | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Total Funding | 12,500 | · - |] | 12,500 |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total . |
| taffing | | | | |
| ther costs (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| otal Revenue Costs | | | | |
| otal Revenue Costs | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| Revenue income | | · . | | |
| | | | | |
| stimated annual revenue effect | | | , | |
| | | | | |
| turn on Capital and Payback | (if appropriate | e): | | |
| | £ | . * | | |
| Forecast Returns | | rn on Capita | l - %: | |
| | 2,500 | iii on oapita | . , | |
| Forecast Savings | - Payb | ack | - Yea | ars |
| | | | | , |
| entify any efficiency gains res | sulting from th | o projecti | | |
| citing any ciliciency gains les | salang nom a | ie broject. | | |
| | | | | • • |

P252

| Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implications Look to source more sustainable materials for signage. | |
|--|----------------|
| | |
| Equality impact assessment carried out? NA | |
| | |
| How will the project be procured? | |
| Obtaining competitive quotes for the works required. | |
| Is there scope for sharing/joint work? No | |
| Completed by: Matthew Lank | Date: 20/10/10 |

Project: SURREY & HANTS IMPROVEMENT PARTNERSHIP (SHIP)

Service: Housing

Officer Responsible for Project: Simon Brisk

Identification of Need:

The 2008 house condition survey estimated that 76% of houses in the Waverley area have no wall insulation and 59% have inadequate loft insulation. Approximately 20% of these are hard to treat because they are either solid wall houses or mobile homes. The fuel poverty scheme is a partnership between 7 local authorities in Surrey & Hants to improve the thermal comfort of properties within the SHIP area. Crucially the scheme includes hard to treat properties, which had previously been excluded from national insulation schemes.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

The fuel poverty scheme is making an immense contribution in ensuring that vulnerable households, including those living in hard to treat properties, have secure warm homes, smaller bills and lower carbon footprints, thereby improving the quality of life for these people.

The scheme also provides value for money. It provides a single point of contact for all insulation enquiries, which is better for our residents and reduces pressure on staff resources, and a tendering process has been completed to provide competitive prices for hard to treat measures such as solid wall and mobile home insulation.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

The fuel poverty scheme assists in keeping properties decent and people living in comfort in their own homes.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

The budget of £31,000 for 2010/11 is now fully allocated and there are more enquiries on hold in anticipation of further funding being made available. The scheme has attracted a lot of interest in a mobile home park occupied exclusively by elderly people and this has led to increasing demand.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

If no, how will the project be managed?

A monthly spreadsheet on spend and commitment is provided by Climate Energy, who are the appointed managing agents for the scheme.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

The scheme was originally called "Warmth for 1000" as the proposed target was to provide thermal efficiency measures to 1000 homes in the SHIP area. By October 2010 the scheme had achieved works to 1289 properties, of which 408 are within Waverley.

| | Yea | | Year 2 | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Land | | | | | _ |
| Contract Costs | ļ | | | | |
| Fees | | - . | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | - | | _ | | |
| Contingency Other (specify) - | 21 | 5,000 | | , | 25.000 |
| Other (specify) - | 2. | 3,000 | | | 25,000 |
| |] | | | 1 | |
| Total Capital Cost | 2 | 5,000 | | <u>'</u> | 25,000 |
| ow capital cost will be funded: | | • | | | |
| | Yea | | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| MDO Occital | £ | | £ | £ | £ 25.000 |
| WBC Capital S106 | 2 | 5,000 | | | 25,000 |
| External Funding (specify) - | 1 | | | · | |
| External Full all all all all all all all all all | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | İ | ٠, |
| | | _ | | | |
| Total Funding | 2 | 5,000 | | | 25,000 |
| ngoing Revenue Cost and/or s | avings | (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 | Total £ |
| Staffing | · · | | *** | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | 1 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | · | | |
| otal Revenue Costs | | | | | |
| 000 | _ | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| ess Revenue income | <u> </u> | | | | |
| TOVERIGE INCOME | | | | | |
| stimated annual revenue effect | | | | | |
| eturn on Capital and Payback (| if appr | opriate |): | | |
| , | £ | | | | |
| Forecast Returns | - | ∐ Returi | n on Capital | - % | • |
| | ,000 | _ , | | | |
| Forecast Savings | - |] Payba | ack | - Yea | rs |
| entify any efficiency gains res ⁄A | ulting f | rom the | e project: | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | 4 4) | | <u> </u> | • | <u> </u> |
| entify any risks which may effe | | | | ints as the s | • |

Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implications:

To date the scheme has achieved 210 loft insulations, 186 cavity wall insulations, 11 mobile home insulations and 1 solid wall insulation. This will have a positive environmental impact and carbon implication.

Equality impact assessment carried out? N/A

How will the project be procured?

The management of the scheme was tendered and awarded to Climate Energy, who also run the Energy Saving Trust Advice Centre. They carried out a tendering exercise to provide the installers.

Is there scope for sharing/joint work? Yes

This scheme is a partnership of 7 local authorities in Surrey & Hants.

Completed by: Simon Brisk Date: 15/10/10

Project: Bring site improvements

Service: Environmental Services

Officer Responsible for Project: Jennifer Carson

Identification of Need:

Bring sites are an integral part of the waste management service, and each year contribute around 16% towards our recycling rate. They are also a very visible service provision within the Borough and are very well used. These facilities need to be maintained to a high standard to ensure a positive Waverley image is attributed to this service. Therefore it is proposed to carry out works on eight sites in Waverley's car parks to improve, better define and 'tidy up' the areas of the sites, and to ensure that the sites are re-signed with Waverley branding.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This project reflects the Council's Environment priority by ensuring that members of the public have access to recycling services, and to ensure that by maintaining these sites sufficiently their customer experience will be a positive one, thus encouraging future regular use of the sites.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Three sites have been completed in previous financial year. Costs have been based on these works. Site inspections commenced in October 2010, in partnership with the Parking team, and draft plans will be drawn up once the key, priority sites have been identified.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

Key Project target dates and milestones:

All plans for the sites will be drawn up by the end of January 2011, and a contractor identified to carry out the works.

Quarter 1- (by June 2011) four sites will be completed.

Quarter 2-(by September 2011) remaining four sites will be completed.

| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | | | | |
| Fees | 1. | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | £24,000 | | | |
| Contingency | | , | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | £24,000 | | 1 | |

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| WBC Capital | £20,000 | | | |
| S106 | £4,000 | | · · · · · · | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | |
| Total Funding | £24,000 | | | • |
| ngoing Revenue Cost and/or s | avings (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing | | | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | |
| | - | . | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | · | |
| Total Nevenue Costs | · | | | |
| Less | | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | | |
| eturn on Capital and Payback (Forecast Returns | £ | · . | - % | |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost 24 Forecast Savings | £ Retur | n on Capital ack | - % - Yea | rs |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings Lentify any efficiency gains resulted and the complete of customer completes; banks will no longer obstruct tes-reduced complaints for parking lentify any risks which may effectione scale-proposals not being resulted to the complete of | E Returnation Retu | n on Capital ack e project: ng from pooles as there v | - Yea r appearance vill be bound | e of some |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings lentify any efficiency gains resulted to the common state of customer common tes; banks will no longer obstruct tes- reduced complaints for parkillentify any risks which may effective states. | E Returnation Retu | n on Capital ack e project: ng from pooles as there v t: r start of sch | - Year appearance will be bound seme; issues | e of some laries for with |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings lentify any efficiency gains residuced number of customer completes; banks will no longer obstruct tes- reduced complaints for parkilentify any risks which may efficient scale- proposals not being reducing a contractor; nvironmental Impact, including ositive environmental impact due | E Returnation Retu | n on Capital ack e project: ng from poor es as there vert: r start of sch ications: crease in re | - Year appearance vill be bound leme; issues cycling by en | e of some laries for with |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings lentify any efficiency gains residuced number of customer completes; banks will no longer obstruct tes-reduced complaints for parking lentify any risks which may effectione scale-proposals not being reported a contractor; Invironmental Impact, including ositive environmental impact due to sites are attractive for users. Quality impact assessment care lready an EqIA for bring sites-this fithis service. | E Returnation Returnation Returnation Returnation Returnation Resulting From the parking spacer and team. Rect the project and in time for the potential in the potential in the potential in the swork will not the potential in t | n on Capital ack e project: ng from poor es as there v t: r start of sch ications: crease in re cause any c | - Year appearance will be bound be bound be bound be bound be bound by entire cycling by entire changes in a | e of some laries for with |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings lentify any efficiency gains residuced number of customer completes; banks will no longer obstruct tes-reduced complaints for parking lentify any risks which may effection scale-proposals not being reducing a contractor; nvironmental Impact, including ositive environmental impact due te sites are attractive for users. quality impact assessment care in this service. | E Returnation Returnation Returnation Returnation Returnation Resulting From the parking spacer and team. Rect the project and in time for the potential in the potential in the potential in the swork will not the potential in t | n on Capital ack e project: ng from poor es as there v t: r start of sch ications: crease in re cause any c | - Year appearance will be bound be bound be bound be bound be bound by entire cycling by entire changes in a | e of some laries for with |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings lentify any efficiency gains residuced number of customer completes; banks will no longer obstruct tes- reduced complaints for parking lentify any risks which may efficient and resourcing a contractor; nvironmental Impact, including ositive environmental impact due te sites are attractive for users. quality impact assessment care from the service. ow will the project be procured ompetitive quotations will be sourced. | E | n on Capital ack e project: ng from poor es as there v t: r start of sch ications: crease in re cause any c | - Year appearance will be bound be bound be bound be bound be bound by entire cycling by entire changes in a | e of some laries for with |

Project: Equipment replacement

Service: Environmental Services - Parking services

Officer Responsible for Project: Margaret Jerome

Identification of Need:

The service is responsible for the operation of the Council's off-street parking places including those operating as pay and display. Operating pay and display parking relies on the provision of facilities that enable a customer to purchase a ticket. We have 74 pay and display machines that operate on a daily basis.

A machine must be operational on a 24 hour basis for the council to receive maximum return from pay and display parking. With the exception of a few machines that are under a protective cover, most are open to the environment and different weather conditions that impact on performance. Power is needed for the machines to operate and the safety of staff that service the machines is imperative. Some machines are under a protective cover but all are vulnerable in wet conditions. As with most metal, the casements deteriorate and rust over time. Advancements in technology result in upgrades and replacements of various parts that help to maintain and improve performance. Situations arise during the year that cannot be foreseen such as vandalism and theft. The coin mechanism that decides whether a coin should be rejected needs adjustment according to national and local situations.

Another aspect of this project, potentially for 2012 and beyond, is the replacement of our coin operated machines with new machines that are able to accept cashless payment (credit card, smart card, etc). A provisional budget has been included for this.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Value for Money- The income from pay and display parking helps to support the implementation of various projects that have direct links to the corporate strategy.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

The general maintenance of our car parks does not require planning permission. The car park inspections undertaken on at least a quarterly basis inform a rolling programme of work.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No

This is a rolling programme of repairs, upgrades and replacements.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Not yet identified. Work plans will be developed and monitored on a monthly basis via meetings of the Technical and Capital Monitoring Groups.

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Land | | | | <u> </u> |
| Contract Costs | | | | • |
| Fees | - 1 | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | 23,500 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 83,500 |
| Contingency | <u> </u> | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | . , , | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 23,500 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 83,500 |
| ow capital cost will be funded: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | £ | fear 2 | rear 3 | ı otal £ |
| WBC Capital | 23,500 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 83,500 |
| S106 | 23,300 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 00,500 |
| External Funding (specify) - | 1- 1 | | - | |
| External Funding (Specify) - | | · · · | | |
| | | | . | |
| Total Funding | 23,500 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 92.500 |
| Total Fulluling | 23,300 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 83,500 |
| ngoing Revenue Cost and/or s | avings (Inves | t to Save): | , , | • |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| Staffing | <u></u> | £ | £ | £ |
| Other costs (specify) - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| other costs (specify) - | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| otal Revenue Costs | | - · | - | |
| Clair Nevertue Costs | | - | · | |
| .ess | | | | |
| Revenue income | | <u> </u> | | |
| Nevenue income | | - | 1 | |
| stimated annual revenue effect | | • | · | • |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | <u> </u> | - |
| eturn on Capital and Payback (| if appropriate | e): | | · |
| | <u>t</u> | - | • | |
| Forecast Returns | | rn on Capital | - % | |
| | ,500 | - | | |
| Forecast Savings | Payb | ack | - Year | 'S |
| is not necessale to accomplete stars | Hifu tha nature | Am | · .m.k.la.u.k.m.a.v.a.v. | الماملية على |
| is not possible to accurately iden | | | | |
| ion and machine is suit of cation | | | ume. Mainta | uning pay |
| en one machine is out of action- | priority for the | council. | | |
| ven one machine is out of action- nd display operation should be a | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | • | |
| nd display operation should be a | | e project | | |
| nd display operation should be a entify any efficiency gains resu | | e project: | | |
| nd display operation should be a | | e project: | | |

| Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implications: Providing parking space and managing space to create high turnove to be encouraging the use of the car. | er, could be seen |
|--|-------------------|
| Equality impact assessment carried out? N/A | |
| How will the project be procured? The financial regulations and contract procedures will be followed | •* |
| Is there scope for sharing/joint work? No | |

Completed by:

Margaret Jerome

8/10/10

Date:

Project: DISABLED FACILITIES GRANT (DFG)

Service: Housing

Officer Responsible for Project: Simon Brisk

Identification of Need: The Council has a statutory duty to provide DFGs for disabled adaptations in the private and RSL sector. The demand for DFGs has been growing year on year as the local population ages. Added to this is a surge in demand this year following the clearance of a backlog of clients on the waiting list for Occupational Therapist assessments by the Social Care Team at Surrey CC. The abolition of means testing of parents of disabled children and the increase in the grant maximum to £30,000 have also increased the demand on the DFG budget.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives: The provision of DFGs has a direct effect on improving the quality of life, particularly for the more vulnerable people in Waverley. An increasing proportion of the community are disabled and in financial difficulty and unable to pay for essential adaptations to enable them to continue to live in their homes.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Housing and Disabled Facilities Grants assist in keeping premises decent and people in their own homes.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission): The DFG budget for 2010/11 was fully allocated by June 2010. The level of commitment in grant applications for 2011/12 is currently £350,000 and there is a further £650,000 in grant enquiries being processed. The current budget level is insufficient to meet this demand.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? No If no, how will the project be managed? By maintaining a spreadsheet on grant commitment and raising awareness at Budget Monitoring meetings.

Key Project target dates and milestones:

N/A

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | - | | | |
| Contract Costs | | : | · | |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | , | |
| Contingency | | , | | |
| Other (specify) - | 650,000 | 500,000 | 400,000 | 1,550,000 |
| | | | | |
| | | | · | |
| | <u> </u> | ' 1 | | - |
| Total Capital Cost | 650,000 | 500,000 | 400,000 | 1,550,000 |

| low capital cost will be funded | : | | · | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Yea | 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 398 | 3,000 | 248,000 | 138,000 | 784,000 |
| S106 | | | | · · | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | - | | | |
| Government grant | 252 | 2,000 | 252,000 | 252,000 | 756,000 |
| Grant repayments | | | | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Total Funding | 650 | 0,000 | 500,000 | 400,000 | 1,550,000 |
| he current government grant, is £25, 011/12. The table above has assume that no extra fund udget in 2010/11. | led that a ding is pr | a lesser ovided | amount of £2 by the Counc | 252,000 is ap | 350,000 fo proved. Th |
| Ingoing Revenue Cost and/or s | savings | (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | . [] | /ear 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 | Total £ |
| Staffing | | | | - | ~ |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | - | | | ļ | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | <u> </u> | | - | | |
| Less | | | | - | |
| Revenue income | | | · | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | - | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Return on Capital and Payback | (if appr | opriate | e): | | |
| | £ | | | 7. | |
| Forecast Returns | - | Retur | n on Capital | - % | |
| | 0,000 | Davis | _11. | · | |
| Forecast Savings | | Payb | | - Yea | rs |
| lentify any efficiency gains res //A | ulting f | rom th | e project: | | |
| dentify any risks which may eff | act the | nroiec | to If the gove | ernment dec | idos as s |
| esult of its spending review, to rec | duce the | ayyer Arolec | nment arant | to local aut | iucs, as a horities |
| nere will be a corresponding impa | ct on th | e Cour | ncil's own ca | pital budget | to meet t |
| emand for adaptations. | | · | | | |
| nvironmental Impact, including | Carbo | n Impl | ications: Ti | nere is a sm | all positive |
| nvironmental impact from the DF | G progr | amme | as a result o | of some ada | otations |
| ncluding insulation to parts of exis | sting hou | ıses. T | he amount | of the impac | t is difficu |
| o quantify. | | | | | |
| quality impact assessment car | ried ou | t? N// | 4 | , | |
| | • | . * | | | |
| low will the project be procured | d? | | | | |
| | | · . | | - | |
| s there scope for sharing/joint was there scope for sharing/joint was there is the scope in the scope in the same in the scope is the scope in the s | | | vith Guildfor | d BC | |
| | | | Janaioi | · | - |
| | | | | | |

Project: DDA Improvement Works Programme - 2011 / 2012

Service: Customer, IT and Property

Officer Responsible for Project: Roger Standing

Identification of Need: In order to comply with requirements of The Disability Discrimination Act, some works of alteration and adaptation are required to office areas and other buildings visited by the general public. Provision is also included for carrying out a Disability Access Scheme for businesses in Waverley. Individual scheme details attached.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives: This scheme would improve the lives of disabled people with in the Borough enabling them to us the leisure facilities.

These works will enable the Council to comply with the requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Provisional schemes have been drawn up. Planning Permission is not required.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Programme of works to be completed by December 2011.

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 10,000 | | | 10,000 |
| Fees | | | | · |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | <u> </u> | |
| V.J. | · | • | | |
| | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 10,000 | | <u> </u> | 10,000 |

| | Vand | | | · . |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 10,000 | ~ | | 10,000 |
| S106 | | | | 10,000 |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| Total Funding | 10,000 | | | 10,000 |
| | | 11.0 | | 10,000 |
| ngoing Revenue Cost and/or s | avings (inve: | st to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| N-ff! | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing Other costs (specify) - | | | | |
| Arier costs (specify) - | | | | · |
| | | | | |
| otal Revenue Costs | | - | | , |
| | | | | • |
| ess | | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| stimated annual revenue effect | | | - | <u>. </u> |
| sumated annual revenue effect | · | | | · |
| eturn on Capital and Payback (| if appropriat | e): | | • |
| · | £ | • | | |
| Forecast Returns | ; | rn on Capital | - % | |
| | ,000 | | ,, | |
| Forecast Savings | - Payb | ack | - Year | rs |
| entify any efficiency gains resu | ulting from th | ne project: | | <u> </u> |
| one | ining nom u | ie broject. | | |
| | | | | |
| | · . | | | |
| entify any risks which may effe | ct the projec | et: | | |
| one | | - | | |
| | | · · · · · | | |
| | | | • | |
| | | 1! 4! | | |
| nvironmental Impact, including | Carbon Imp | ilcations: | | |
| nvironmental Impact, including A | Carbon Imp | lications: | | |
| nvironmental Impact, including A | Carbon Imp | ilcations; | | |
| A | | ilcations: | | |
| A quality impact assessment carr | ied out? | | | · · · · · · |
| A quality impact assessment carr es all the programmed works resu | ied out? | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carr | ied out? | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carres all the programmed works resucessible | ried out? ult from servic | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carres all the programmed works resucessible | ried out? ult from servic | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carres all the programmed works resucessible | ried out? ult from servic | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carres all the programmed works resucessible | ried out? ult from servic | | s to make fa | cilities |
| A quality impact assessment carres all the programmed works resucessible | ried out? ult from servic | e led policie | s to make fa | cilities |

Project: Legislative Changes

Service: Customer, IT and Property

Officer Responsible for Project: Linda Frame

Identification of Need:

Annual capital programme provision to meet unforeseen changes, primarily legislative normally relating to Benefits that require software or system changes to key IT system applications.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Provision has enabled the Council's business applications to be delivered in accordance with government changes to rules and regulations.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Unforeseen essential legislative changes.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

The provision is to meet unforeseen legislative changes that historically emerge during the year.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Unforeseen essential legislative changes – timetable would be set.

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | · | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 |

| and the second of the second o | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 |
| S106 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 00,000 |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | |
| | | | | · , |
| | | | | |
| Tetal Francisco | | 10.000 | 40.000 | |
| Total Funding | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 |
| Ingoing Revenue Cost and/or sav | vings (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing | | | | |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | . - | <u> </u> |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | 1 |
| Less | <u> </u> | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | , , , | |
| Forecast Returns - | Retur | n on Capital | - % | y ⁷ 1 |
| Capital Cost 10,0 | | | | |
| Forecast Savings - | Payba | ack | - Yea | ars |
| | | | | -·- · |
| dentify any efficiency gains resul | ting from th | e project: | | |
| | ting from the | e project: | | • |
| | ting from th | e project: | | |
| one | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | • |
| lone | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| lone lentify any risks which may effec | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| lone lentify any risks which may effec | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone | t the projec | t: | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone invironmental Impact, including (| t the projec | t: | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone invironmental Impact, including (| t the projec | t: | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone invironmental Impact, including (| t the projec | t: | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone invironmental Impact, including (| et the projec | t: ications: | | |
| lone dentify any risks which may effect lone invironmental Impact, including (| et the projec | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione Invironmental Impact, including (Ione Iquality impact assessment carrie | ct the project Carbon Impled out? N/A | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione Invironmental Impact, including Colone Ione Iquality Impact assessment carrie | ct the project Carbon Impled out? N/A | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione invironmental Impact, including (ione iquality impact assessment carrie | ct the project Carbon Impled out? N/A | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione Invironmental Impact, including Colone Iquality impact assessment carrie | ct the project Carbon Impled out? N/A | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione Ione Ione Ione Iquality impact assessment carrie Iow will the project be procured? Existing software supplier. | ct the project | t: ications: | | |
| lentify any risks which may effectione one one one one one one one one one | ct the project | t: ications: | | |
| dentify any risks which may effectione Invironmental Impact, including (Ione Iquality impact assessment carrie | ct the project | t: ications: | | |

Project: Desktop/Server Upgrades

Service: Customer, IT and Property

Officer Responsible for Project: Martin Wilson

Identification of Need:

Replacement of desktop PCs with thin client desktops and server and storage upgrades. The programme has been more than halved from the rolling programme in 2007/08 and the 2011/12 will prioritise replacing PCs with thin client desktops

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This scheme would meet the Council's aims at reducing energy use and carbon emissions and achieves value for money outputs (PCs are 3-4 times the cost of thin clients) and centralise and simplifies IT management and provides security advantages.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Included in Service Plan.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission): Rolling programme underway.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Continue rollout from April 2011 through to March 2012

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 29,000 | 29,000 | 25,000 | 83,000 |
| Fees | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | <u> </u> | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | |
| | - | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Capital Cost | 29,000 | 29,000 | 25,000 | 83,000 |

| How capital cost will be fund | ed: | | | | |
|--|----------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Year 1 | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | | 29,000 | 29,000 | 25,000 | 83,000 |
| S106 External Funding (specify) - | - | | | | |
| External Funding (specify) | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Total Funding | | <u> </u> 29,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 | |
| | ! | • | 29,000 | 25,000 | 83,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/o | or savir | igs (inves | t to Save): | | • |
| | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| Staffing | | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Other costs (specify) - Support | | 4000 | 3000 | 2000 | 9,000 |
| | | | 1 | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | • | 4000 | 3000 | 2000 | 0.000 |
| TOTAL LICACHING COSTS | | 4000 | 3000 | 2000 | 9,000 |
| Less | | | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | 4000 | 3000 | 2000 | 9,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Payba | - | ppropriate |)): | | : |
| Foregot Deturns | £ | | m an Camital | 0/ ′ | |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost | 29,000 | - | n on Capital | - % | |
| Forecast Savings | - | Payb | ack | - Yea | ars |
| dentify any efficiency gains i Enables centralised and simplifed advantages. | | _ | , , | ides security | / |
| dentify any risks which may | effect 1 | the projec | t: | | |
| None | • | | | | |
| | | | | | , |
| Environmental Impact, include This scheme would help meet to emissions. | | | | g energy use | e and carbo |
| Equality impact assessment | carried | out? N/ | Α . | | |
| | | | | | • |
| How will the project be procu CPR's | red? | | | | |
| • | | - | | | |
| ls there scope for sharing/joi Surreywide Procurement frame | | k? Yes thr | ough joint p | rocurement | using the |
| · · | | | | • • | - ' |
| Completed by: Roger Stand | lina | •,= | | Date: 26. | /10/10 |

| | 建建等各次的以后 | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Project: Members' IT | | | | |
| Service: IT | | | · | |
| Officer Responsible for Project: | Martin Wilson | | | |
| dentification of Need: Refresh of equipment is coming towards end of May 2011. | | | | |
| Demonstrate how this scheme wobjectives: Value for Money – red | | | Corporate St | rategy |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | • |
| Cross Reference to Service Plan | : | | | |
| | | | - | |
| | | | | |
| Will the Corporate Project Manaç | | it be used | ? Yes | - |
| f no, how will the project be manag | jed? | | | |
| | • | | | |
| | | , | | |
| Key Project target dates and mile | ∍stones: May | ′ 2011 – ne | w Councillors | s in place |
| <u> </u> | | | | 1 2 |
| Capital cost (across years): | · · | | | • |
| Capital cost (across years): | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| Land | 1 | | 1 1 | |
| Land Contract Costs | 1 | | 1 1 | |
| Land Contract Costs Fees | £ | | 1 1 | £ |
| Land Contract Costs Fees Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | 1 | | 1 1 | £ |
| Land Contract Costs Fees Vehicles, Plant and Equipment Contingency | £ | | 1 1 | £ |
| Land Contract Costs Fees Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | £ | | 1 1 | £ |
| Land Contract Costs Fees Vehicles, Plant and Equipment Contingency | £ | | 1 1 | |
| Land Contract Costs Fees Vehicles, Plant and Equipment Contingency | £ | | 1 1 | £ |

| How capital cost will be funded: | | | | <u> </u> |
|--|------------------|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| sapital cost will be fullded. | Year 1 | Year 2 | . Van 2 | Talal |
| | £ | £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 5,000 | ~ | | 5,000 |
| S106 | -1 | | | 0,000 |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | | . |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | |
| T-4-1 Francisco | | | | |
| Total Funding | 5,000 | · | | 5,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or s | avings (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| Staffing | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| | | - | <u> </u> | - |
| Other costs (specify) - | | | | · |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | - | - |
| Total Novellac Code | - | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Less | , | | ļ | |
| Revenue income | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | 1 | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | | |
| Detrum on Canital and Davidsole / | ** | <u> </u> | | |
| Return on Capital and Payback (| ır appropriate |): | . : | |
| | £ | | | |
| Forecast Returns | | n on Capital | - % | |
| _ | 000 | | | |
| Forecast Savings | Payba | ick - | - Yea | rs |
| dentify any efficiency gains resu Reduced ongoing support ncreased reliability for Councillors | | e project: | | |
| dontify any risks which may offe | -4 44 a mysle at | | · · · | |
| dentify any risks which may effe | ect the project | :# :# | v | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Engleanmental Impact including | O | 4* | | |
| Environmental Impact, including | Carbon impii | cations: | | |
| New equipment will be more energy eplaced. | у епісіені інан | tne 4-year | old+ equipm | ent being |
| еріасец. | | • | | |
| | 1 -140 NI/A | | · · · | |
| Equality impact assessment carr | 'led out? IN/A | • | | |
| | • | | | • |
| | | • | - | |
| | | _ | | |
| low will the project be procured | ? Catalyst sup | oplier or Sui | rey Framew | ork 🗈 |
| | | | | |
| • | | - " | | |
| | · | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | · . |
| s there scope for sharing/joint⊦w | iork? No | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | | · |
| completed by: Roger Standing | | | ate: 26/ | 10/10 |

Project: Upgrade Replace Systems – 1.Agresso and 2.New Software Solutions to Replace Lotus Notes

Service: IT, Customer and property

Officer Responsible for Project: Linda Frame

Identification of Need:

- 1.Upgrade of Agresso Finance System. The new version would make the operation of the system and the sharing of financial information more efficient and should help enable the realisation of cost savings in exchequer and accountancy in the next few years. The upgrade will also help budget managers by giving vastly improved presentation of financial information. Estimated cost £30,000.
- 2. Replacement software to Lotus Notes. Migration from Lotus Notes to new alternative software solutions. Provision to initiate migration from Lotus Notes estimated budget provision is £20,000.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

The Agresso System Upgrade supports the Council's value for money strategy by ensuring Waverley's financial systems are effective and efficient.

The transition from lotus Notes to other software platforms is in accordance with the IT Strategy.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Both schemes are included in service plans.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

The Agresso upgrade project has been considered and approved by CMT and a the project is well defined and planned.

The replacement path for Lotus Notes is less well developed but there is a strong drive to begin the migration from the Notes platform in 2011/12.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Agresso upgrade to be implemented by November 2011.

Lotus Notes – Identify alternative software solutions April – Jun 2011. Implementation of replacement tools September 2011 – March 2012.

| | Year | 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
|--|--|------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <u> </u> | £ | | £ | £ | £ |
| Land | | · | | | |
| Contract Costs (£30k Agresso £20k Lotus Notes replacement) | 50, | 000 | | - | 50,000 |
| Fees | | | | | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Contingency | , | | | | |
| Other (specify) - | | | | - | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | |
| T. 1.0. 11.0. | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Total Capital Cost | | 000 | · | | 50,000 |
| ow capital cost will be funded: | • | • | | | |
| | Year | 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | £ | ' | £ | £ | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | | 000 | ~ . + | | 50,000 |
| S106 | 1 00, | - | | | 20,000 |
| External Funding (specify) - | | - - | | | |
| | | | - | | · |
| | 1 | | | · · · · · · | |
| | | 1 | | - | |
| Total Funding | 50, | 000 | <u>_</u> | - : | 50,000 |
| | Ye | ear 1 £ | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total £ |
| Staffing | | | | | |
| Other costs (specify Notes replaceme solutions) - | ent 4 | 000 | 4000 | - | 8000 |
| <u> </u> | | | | | · · |
| 5-4-1-0-1 | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | 4 | 000 | 4000 | | 8000 |
| ess | | | + | | |
| Revenue income | | | | | - |
| | - | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | 400 | 0 | 4000 | - | 8000 |
| eturn on Capital and Payback (i | if appro | priate |): | <u> </u> | <u></u> |
| | £ | | | | |
| Forecast Returns | _ | Retur | n on Capital | - % | |
| Capital Cost 50, | 000 | | - 1 | | |
| Forecast Savings | | Payba | ıck | - Yea | ars |
| | ıltina f | | | | · - |
| lentify any efficiency gains resu otential savings in future years thr nd accountancy in the next few ye | rough the | e reali | sation of co | st savings i | n excheque |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| entify any risks which may effe | ct the n | roject | <u></u> | - | |

| Environmental Impact, including Carbon Implicat N/A | tions: | |
|--|--------|----------|
| | | |
| Equality impact assessment carried out? N/A | | |
| | | |
| How will the project be procured? CPRs | | |
| Is there scope for sharing/joint work? No | | · |
| Completed by: Roger Standing | Date: | 26/10/10 |

Project: ORCHARD HOUSING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM - UPGRADE

Service: Housing

Officer Responsible for Project: Clare Jones / Linda Frame

Identification of Need:

A separate report on the need to upgrade the Orchard Housing System is to be considered by the Executive at its meeting in March 2011. Alternatives to the upgrade such as a replacement system have been considered but are discounted on the basis of cost/value for money reasons.

In brief the requirement for the upgrade is set out below.

As part of its on going business development, Orchard has developed a new webbased housing management system, which can be adopted as an upgrade to the existing operational system. This system has been available from April 2010. There are a range of benefits from this upgrade, which include:

- o a web-based system
- easier access from remote locations, assisting with staff working away from the office
- o quick navigational links
- additional functionalities such as a customer self service portal which can be purchased separately

At the same time as Orchard are introducing their new major upgrade package for the housing management system, they have announced that they are starting to withdraw support services to the existing housing management system. Orchard has advised its customers on the timetable for maturing and retiring current and previous versions and when they will reach end of life:

- o Matured products do not receive new features and support service is limited remedial work for problems will be made available through the "Patch" mechanism but is dependent on the technical nature of the issue. In our case, the Orchard housing management version that we are operating on, which is the most up to date, will be mature from April 2010.
- o Retired products do not receive any new features and support service is limited remedial work for problems may be made available exceptionally and may be subject to an additional charge. The current system on which Waverley operates will be 'retired' from October 2010.

End of life products are not eligible for any support. The current system on which Waverley operates will come to the 'end of life' in July 2011. The Government requires local authorities not to use products that have come to the end of life.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

A fit for purpose Housing Management system is essential to enable the Council to meet its Housing objectives.

| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or savin | ıgs (Invest | to Save): | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Staffing | | | | |
| Other costs (specify) – Support/Mtnce | | | | |
| | | | | · · · |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | |
| Total Neverlae Gosts | | <u> </u> | | |
| Less | · | | | |
| Revenue income | | | | |
| | | | • | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | | | | |
| Return on Capital and Payback (if a | opropriate) | · !! | | |
| £ | | | • | |
| Forecast Returns | Poturn | on Capital | · % | ; |
| Capital Cost | IXetuiii | on Capitar | 70 | |
| Forecast Savings | Payba | ck | Year | rs · |
| | | | | |
| Identify any efficiency gains resultin | ig irom the | project: | | |
| o easier access from remote lo | neations as | ssisting with | staff workin | na away |
| from the office | outions, ac | Soloting With | Stall Workin | ig avvay |
| o quick navigational links addit | tional functi | onalities su | ch as a cust | omer self |
| service portal which can be p | | | | : |
| | | • | | |
| Identify any risks which may effect t | he project | • | | · |
| None | | | | |
| • • • | • | | | |
| | | 4 1, 1 | <u> </u> | |
| Environmental Impact, including Ca | rbon Impli | cations: | • | * 7 |
| N/A | | | | • |
| | | | | |
| E 124 | (O. 11/4 | | | |
| Equality impact assessment carried | out? N/A | | | |
| | ÷ | • | | • |
| | • | | | |
| How will the project be procured? | - | | · | |
| Executive decision to upgrade from exi | ietina eunnl | ior | | |
| Executive decision to appraise from ex | isting suppi | 161. | | |
| | | | , | • |
| Is there scope for sharing/joint work | (? | | | • |
| Possible joint implementation working | | ord Borough | Council on | the |
| upgrade. | | J | | - |
| Completed by: Roger Standing | | . Da | ate: Jan | 2011 |

Project: Network Upgrade and Flexible Working

Service: Customer, IT and Property

Officer Responsible for Project: Roger Standing

Identification of Need:

Upgrade of network to enable increase development of IP telephony flexible. Budget required for network switches, IP software and handsets.

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

This initiative supports the Council's value for money priority enabling more flexible working and more effective use of office space.

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Initiative on flexible working is included in the service plan for Facilities.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

Estimates for expanded IP provision obtained and network review underway.

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes If no, how will the project be managed?

Key Project target dates and milestones:

Review of network provision completed by February 2011.

Commence scheme May 2011 to be completed by end of June 2011.

| | , | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|----------|
| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| <u> £</u> | Ε | £ | £ |
| | | | |
| 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | ` ` | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 25,000 | | · | 25,000 |
| | £ 25,000 | £ £ £ | £ £ £ |

| low capital cost will be funded: | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| S106 | · . | | | |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Funding | 25,000 | · | | 25,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or savi | nas (Inves | t to Save): | | |
| | | . <u> </u> | Voor 2 | Total |
| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | £ |
| Staffing | | ~ | | |
| Other costs (specify) – Support/Mtnce | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 12,000 |
| | | | | |
| Tetal Devenue Octob | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 40.000 |
| Total Revenue Costs | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 12,000 |
| Less | - | | | |
| Revenue income | 1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 12,000 |
| Forecast Returns - Capital Cost 25,00 Forecast Savings - | | n on Capital ack | - % - Yea | nrs |
| dentify any efficiency gains resulti Will enable reduced office space and partner organisations. | provide op | portunity for | new income | e from |
| dentify any risks which may effect | the projec | t: | | |
| lone. | | | | \ |
| · | | | | - |
| Environmental Impact, including Ca More effective use of office space. | arbon Impl | ications: | | |
| | d =40 N/A | | | · |
| Equality impact assessment carried | u out? N/A | ` | | |
| | | | | |
| low will the project be procured? | | . . | • | |
| CPRs | . , | • • | | |
| s there scope for sharing/joint wor | k? No | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | • | |
| Completed by: Roger Standing | · | | Date: 27. | /10/10 |
| | | | -aw. 21. | |

Project: Scanning and Workflow Environmental Health

Service: Environmental Services (Environmental Health)

Officer Responsible for Project: Martin Shorten

Identification of Need:

1. Paper files take up office space and pressure on space in new top floor office arrangements

2. Scanning documents would help to streamline work processes

Demonstrate how this scheme would help achieve the Corporate Strategy objectives:

Value for money: - streamline work processes

use of office accommodation

Environment: - help

help protect and improve public health

Cross Reference to Service Plan:

Foresight review of Environmental Health has identified benefits of scanning and related streamlined working practices.

Progress to date (including position regarding planning permission):

A 'connector' was purchased to link M3 Northgate to EDRMS in 2009. Project could not progress when EDRMS cancelled

Will the Corporate Project Management Toolkit be used? Yes

Key Project target dates and milestones:

- 1. M3 Northgate connector purchased 2009
- 2. Back scanning quote obtained
- 3. Civica / EDRMS to be purchased

| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Year 3 £ | Total £ |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Land | | | | |
| Contract Costs | 42,000 | | | 42,000 |
| Fees | | | , <u> </u> | |
| Vehicles, Plant and Equipment | | | | |
| Contingency | | | | |
| Other (specify) – staffing/agency/contractor to set up workflows and coding structure | 5,000 | | | 5,000 |
| | | • | | - |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| Total Capital Cost | 47,000 | | | 47,000 |

| How capital cost will be funded: | | | en en | | • , |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | Year 1 £ | Year 2 £ | Yea £ | ı ı | Total £ |
| WBC Capital | 47,000 | | | | 47,000 |
| S106 | | _ | | | • |
| External Funding (specify) - | | | - | | |
| | | | , | | • • |
| | | | | | |
| Total Funding | 47,000 | | _ | | 47,000 |
| Ongoing Revenue Cost and/or sa | vings (Inve | st to Sav | e): | | |
| | Year 1 | Year £ | 2 Ye | ear 3 £ | Total £ |
| Staffing | | | F00 | -500 | 4 500 |
| Other costs (specify) – IT licence? | | 0 | 500 | 500 | 1,500 |
| | | - | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | | | | | |
| 1222 | | <u> </u> | | - ! | |
| Less Revenue income | | - - | | | |
| | | | <u></u> : | | ···· |
| Estimated annual revenue effect | 50 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 1,500 |
| Forecast Returns Capital Cost Forecast Savings | Payl | ırn on Cap back | | % Years | 5 |
| dentify any efficiency gains resu | lting from t | he projec | t: | . | |
| Streamline work processes | | | | • | |
| dentify any risks which may effe | ct the proje | ct: | | , | |
| Depends on assistance from IT tear | m | | | | - |
| Environmental Impact, including | Carbon Imr | lications | ·• | | <u> </u> |
| | | | - | | |
| N/a | | | | | |
| Equality impact cooccurant com- | ad auto XII | Λ | | ·. | |
| Equality impact assessment carri | ieu dulf IV/. · | ^ | | | |
| | | | | | |
| How will the project be procured? | ? | | | • | |
| Partly with assistance from IT team | | | | | |
| ls there scope for sharing/joint w | ork? No | : | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Completed by: Martin Shorten | | | | | 0/10 |

| | | 2011/2012 £ | 2012/2013 £ | 2013/2014 £ | |
|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Revenue Reserve Fund | | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | |
| Revenue Reserve Fund - Leisure Strategy | irategy | 555,000 | _ | | |
| Revenue Reserve Fund - Green Energy (Godalming LC) | ergy (Godalming LC) | 147,370 | | | |
| | | 1,802,370 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | |
| Capital Receipts (Godalming LC) | | 488,500 | | · | |
| HRA (Orchard Software) | | 28,100 | | | |
| Vehicles Renewal Fund | | | 19,000 | 19,000 | |
| Internal Resources | | 2,318,970 | 1,119,000 | 1,119,000 | |
| Specified Capital Grant (Renovation Grants) | າ Grants) | 252,000 | 252,000 | 252,000 | |
| Other External Funding | | 339,300 | | | • |
| Prudential Borrowing | | 2,761,000 | 1,850,000 | | |
| Funding available | | 5,671,270 | 3,221,000 | 1,371,000 | |
| Capital Programme - Proposed | | 5,772,270 | 2,903,500 | 882,600 | |
| | · | (£101,000) | £317,500 | £488,400 | |
| | | | | | |